Transport Layer Security is the protocol most-responsible for encryption on the Internet today. Unfortunately, popular TLS security libraries, such as OpenSSL and GnuTLS, while feature-rich and widely-used, have long been plagued by programmer misuse, leading to security flaws. The complexity and design of these libraries can make them hard to use correctly for application developers and even security experts.

In this work we present the Secure Socket API (SSA), a TLS API designed to work within the confines of the existing standard POSIX socket API already familiar to network programmers. We extend the POSIX socket API in a natural way, providing backwards compatibility with the existing POSIX socket interface. The SSA enables developers to quickly build TLS support into their applications and administrators to easily control how applications use TLS on their machines. This reduces application code by thousands of lines, and reduces security APIs from over 500 functions to a mere dozen. We demonstrate our prototype SSA implementation across a variety of use cases and also show how it can be trivially integrated into existing programming languages.

II. Motivation

TLS use by applications is mired by complicated APIs and developer mistakes, a problem that has been well-documented. The libssl component of the OpenSSL library alone exports 504 functions and macros for use by TLS-implementing applications. This and other TLS APIs have been criticized for their complexity [3], [4] and, anecdotally, our own explorations find many functions within libssl that have non-intuitive semantics, confusing names, or little-to-no use in applications. Another body of work has cataloged developer mistakes when using these libraries to validate certificates, resulting in man-in-the-middle vulnerabilities [1]–[3].

A related problem is that the reliance on application developers to implement security inhibits the control administrators have over their own machines. For example, an administrator cannot currently dictate what version of TLS is used by applications she installs, what cipher suites and key sizes are used, or even whether applications use TLS at all. This coupling of application functionality with security policy can make otherwise desirable applications unadoptable by administrators with incompatible security requirements. This problem is exacerbated when security flaws are discovered in applications and administrators must wait for security patches from developers, which may not ever be provided due to project shutdown, financial incentive, or other reasons.

The synthesis of these two problem spaces is that developers lack a common, usable security API and administrators lack control over secure connections. In our work, we explore a solution space to these problems through the POSIX socket API and operating system control.

III. Basic Design

Under the POSIX socket API, developers specify their desired protocol using the last two parameters of the socket function, which specify the type of protocol (e.g., SOCK_DGRAM, SOCK_STREAM), and optionally the protocol itself (e.g., IPPROTO_TCP), respectively. Corresponding network operations such as connect, send, and recv then utilize the selected protocol transparently.

We have developed a prototype SSA as a loadable Linux kernel module. This module extends the operating system networking interface, adding the IPPROTO_TLS protocol option to socket. When a developer creates a TLS socket, subsequent calls to POSIX socket functions such as connect, send, and recv would then properly utilize the TLS handshake, encrypt and transmit data, and receive and decrypt data respectively, based on the TLS protocol.

IV. Administrator Control

The SSA is responsible for automatic selection of TLS versions, cipher suites, and extensions. It also performs automatic session management and automatic validation of certificates. These behaviors are subject to a system configuration policy with secure defaults.

Administrators can customize the behavior of the SSA through a protected configuration file, controlling TLS version and cipher suite selection, certificate validation strategies, TLS extensions, etc. Settings are applied to all TLS connections made with the SSA. These can be further tailored to individual applications through the creation of additional profiles, which contain settings for specific applications.

V. Developer Customization

The setsockopt and getsockopt POSIX functions provide a means to support additional settings in cases where a protocol offers more functionality than can be expressed by
the limited set of principal network functions. In accordance
with this standard, the SSA adds a few socket options for
IPPROTO_TLS. This set includes functionality for developers
to specify remote hostnames, local certificate chains and
private keys, custom certificate validation, session TTLs, etc.
Administrators set policy, while developers can choose to
further restrict an application, such as choosing a particular
cipher suite out of the configured options. Developers can
increase security but cannot decrease it.

VI. PORTING APPLICATIONS

To obtain metrics on porting applications to use the SSA,
we modified the source code of four network programs to
use the SSA. Two of these already utilized OpenSSL for
their TLS functionality, and two were not built to utilize TLS
at all. The lines of code modified, removed, and the time
taken to accomplish the conversion are shown in Table I. The
modification of *wget* and *lighttpd* were performed by
programmers with no prior experience with the source code or
OpenSSL, but who had a working knowledge of C and POSIX
sockets. Most of the time spent was used to become familiar
with the source code and remove OpenSSL calls.

These results suggest that porting insecure programs to
use the SSA can be accomplished quickly and that porting
OpenSSL-using code to use the SSA can be relatively easy,
even without prior knowledge of the codebase.

VII. PORTING LANGUAGES

One of the benefits of using the POSIX socket API as the
basis for the SSA is that it makes it easy to provide support
for the SSA in a variety of languages, since the POSIX API is
often implemented at the system call layer. Any language that
uses the network must interface with network system calls,
either directly through machine instructions or indirectly by
wrapping another language’s implementation.

To illustrate this, we have added SSA support to three addi-
tional languages beyond C/C++: Go, Python, and PHP. In each
case the modifications needed were light: Python and PHP
merely required additional definitions of the SSA constants,
and Go required these plus some simple wrappers (2-3 lines
of code each) to be created for its `setsockopt/getsockopt`
interface. With the changes to the Go standard library, we
successfully modified the popular Caddy webserver to use the
SSA with only the modification of a single line of its code.

Together these efforts illustrate the ease of adding SSA sup-
port to various languages. The majority of the work required
is to define a few constants for existing system calls.

VIII. DISCUSSION

The SSA itself and the architecture of our prototype both
have compelling benefits. By conforming to the POSIX API,
using TLS becomes a matter of simply specifying TLS rather
than TCP during socket creation and setting a small number
of options through `setsockopt`. All other networking calls
(e.g. bind, connect, send, recv) remain the same, al-
lowing developers to work in a familiar API. This simplified
TLS interface allows developers to focus on unique application
logic, rather than spending time implementing standard
network security with complex APIs.

Because our SSA design moves all TLS functionality to the
operating system, administrators can configure TLS behavior
on a system-wide level, and tailor settings of individual
applications to their specific needs.

By implementing the SSA with a kernel module, developers
who wish to use it do not have to link with any additional
userspace libraries. With small additions to libc headers,
applications in C/C++ can use the new constants defined for
the IPPROTO_TLS protocol. Other languages can be easily
modified to use the SSA, as demonstrated with our efforts to
add support to Go, Python, and PHP.

Adding TLS to the Linux kernel as an Internet protocol
allows the SSA to leverage the existing separation of the
system call boundary. Due to this, privilege separation in TLS
usage can be naturally achieved. For example, administrators
can store private keys in a secure location inaccessible to
applications. When applications provide paths to these keys
using `setsockopt` (or use them from the SSA configura-
tion), the SSA can read these keys with its elevated privilege.
If the application becomes compromised, the key data (and
master secret) remains safely outside the address space of the
application, inaccessible to malicious parties.
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