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Abstract—Bug bounty programs have been proved effective in attracting external hackers to find and disclose potential flaws in a responsible way. There are many different bug bounty programs, so how do hackers balance diversity and concentration to effectively build their reputation in the vulnerability discovery ecosystem? In this paper, we present a novel methodology to understand how hackers spread their attention and earn bounties across different programs. The empirical result shows the relationship between diversity and concentration and suggests an effective strategy for hackers to work across multiple bug bounty programs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the “double-edged sword” characteristic [1, 2] of vulnerability disclosure, there has been both positive and negative feedback for the community. Responsible vulnerability disclosure policy has been developed as an effective way to improve overall security [3]. Considering the effectiveness of using external experts for responsible vulnerability discovery [4], bug bounty programs have been launched by many companies including Google, Facebook, Microsoft, Mozilla etc. to encourage the external hackers to share their discovered vulnerabilities before publicly disclosure. Consequently, some third-party bug bounty platforms such as HackerOne, BugCrowd, Wooyun, Vulbox etc. have been built to host bug bounty programs and attract hackers to locate potential vulnerabilities for different companies. Therefore, we can observe a continuously growing discovery ecosystem, providing significant contributions to companies in different sectors [5].

There are many different bug bounty programs in the ecosystem and vulnerability discovery is a non-trivial and extremely time consuming task, so how do hackers spread their limited energy across multiple programs to build their reputation and increase their bounties? Obviously, working in many programs can help the hackers to discover more potential vulnerabilities. However, due to the increasing complexity of the system, it becomes more and more difficult to find more potential flaws in a given program. Additionally, the one who first discovers the vulnerability will gain the bounty. This means that a hacker needs to balance between diversity and concentration during the vulnerability discovery: whether to work across many different programs or just focus on a few programs.

In this paper, we develop a methodology to understand how hackers spread their attentions across different bug bounty programs and how they gain their bounties. Based on the data collected from HackerOne, our empirical results show the different strategies for hackers with different reputation levels in the ecosystem. This opens a gateway for us to further study the hackers’ incentive and behaviors in the bug bounty programs.

II. METHODOLOGY

Generally speaking, in the vulnerability discovery ecosystem, each hacker will select a program and then devote time to work on vulnerability discovery in these programs. If a vulnerability is discovered, he/she will submit a report to the program and the program will work with him/her to determine if the vulnerability is valid and the first discovery. Finally, the hacker can gain a bounty if the discovery meets the requirements of the program. By making more valid submissions and gaining bounties, the hackers also build their reputation in the ecosystem.

As the goal of this paper is to understand how hackers work across different programs. For a hacker $h$ who participates in more than 1 program, we get all his/her valid submissions and then sort his/her programs based on the submission number:

$$a(h) = s_{p_1}, s_{p_2}, \ldots, s_{p_n} > , \quad |s_{p_1}| \geq |s_{p_2}| \geq \ldots \geq |s_{p_n}|$$

Here $|s_{p_j}|$ refers to the number of valid reports $h$ submits to the $j$th program.

Therefore, we can get the distribution representing how each hacker spread his/her attention to different programs:

$$pa(h) = s_{r_{p_1}}, s_{r_{p_2}}, \ldots, s_{r_{p_n}}$$

$$s_{r_{p_j}} = \frac{|s_{p_j}|}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}|s_{p_j}|}$$

Then, the average attention rate $aar_j$ is generated to evaluate how hackers in the ecosystem spread their attentions to their $j$th priority programs:

$$aar_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}s_{r_{ip_j}}$$

In many cases, building a reputation (such as for future employment) may be as important as the amount of bounty collected.
Here, $N$ refers to the number of hackers participating into more than 1 program.

Finally, we can calculate the entropy index [6] to evaluate the concentration of each hackers’ programs:

$$ EL_{\omega}(h_i) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} s_{r_{ij}} \log_{10}(s_{r_{ij}}) $$

(5)

Similarly, we can calculate the average reward rate $arr_i$, and the entropy-based concentration rate $EL_{\omega}(h_i)$ to represent how hackers gain bounties from their programs:

$$ arr_i = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} r_{ik} $$(6)

$$ EL_{\omega}(h_i) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{ij} \log_{10}(r_{ij}) $$

(7)

$$ rr_{i,k} = \frac{|r_{ik}|}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |r_{ij}|} $$

(8)

Here, $M$ refers to the number of hackers who gain bounties from more than 1 program. $|r_{ik}|$ refers to the number of rewarded submissions hacker $h_i$ gain from his/her $k$th top program.

III. DATASET AND RESULT

A. Data Set

HackerOne is a well-known US bug bounty platform which hosts many different programs (132 public programs, as of April 1, 2016) offered by different companies including Yahoo!, Twitter, Adobe, Uber, etc. Data was collected from November 29, 2013 to October 28, 2015 on the 567 hackers who participated in more than 1 program for attention concentration analysis and the 214 hackers who gained rewards from at least 2 programs for reward concentration analysis. Detail about the dataset is in the support material.

B. Empirical Result

Due to the space limitation, the figures are presented as support material. Based on the data we collected from HackerOne, we can observe the well-known power-law distributions both for the average attention rate and the average reward rate. This means that overall, hackers in the ecosystem pay most of their attentions to the prioritized programs and gain most of their earnings from them. Actually, it can be seen that 90.47% of submissions are from the first 3 priority programs for these hackers. The first 3 prioritized programs contribute 95.68% bounties for hackers and only 0.77% are from the programs with a priority less than 5. Additionally, the gap between the average attention rate and the average reward rate is increasing with the reduction in priority, which reveals that reports submitted to the less priority programs gain negligible reward.

Furthermore, in order to compare the different strategies for hackers with different levels, we separate the hackers into 10 groups based on their effectiveness, which we will refer to as reputation. For each group, we calculate their average entropy index for both the attention rate and the reward rate.

From Figure 2, we can observe a significant transform between the diversity and concentration for hackers: for the hackers with low reputations, they submit to different programs and get a relatively higher diversity. However, this distraction limits their ability to discover important and valuable vulnerabilities and build reputation; The ones with medium reputations, focus on their priority programs; The top hackers have a higher diversity than the overall ecosystem which means that they spread their vulnerability discovery ability to more programs. Therefore, for the hackers, it is a reasonable strategy to focus on few programs to gain professional recognition and then diversify to different programs to build up one’s reputations in the community.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Bug bounty programs have been launched by many companies, attracting external hackers to discover potential vulnerabilities through responsive disclosure. It is important for hackers to balance between diversity and concentration. Our empirical study shows that most hackers concentrate on few programs, empirically less than 5, and earn most of their bounties from these programs. Additionally, the entropy-based concentration reveals the strategy between concentration and diversity: it is a good choice for hackers to initially focus on few programs and then diversify to multi-programs to build reputation in the community.

This preliminary result opens a gateway for us to further dig deeper to understand the hackers’ behavior and investigate the vulnerability discovery ecosystem.
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