Robin Gonzalez, Michael E. Locasto University of Calgary

Patching software remains a key defensive technique for mitigating flaws and vulnerabilities. We posit that studying the mechanics of fixes for vulnerabilities (i.e., security patches) can lead to insight about common principles and patterns for fixing software flaws. Such insights are of particular value to efforts to automatically deploy patches — especially at runtime to live software (e.g., for the purposes of hot patching or selfhealing). Our study of these patch semantics provides some insight into the limitations of hot patching (in other words, what kinds of code and data constructs are too complicated to safely hot patch). Knowing the limitations of hot patching can help avoid blind or uncertain deployment of such fixes and potentially aid a system administrator in triaging which patches to deploy (either in a traditional restart–oriented fix or in a hot patch).

One of the biggest challenges of applying patches *on the run* is the introduction of statements modifying data structures. The challenge arises when trying to dynamically patch these statements in the source code layer of the application – since already existing data structures reside in the object code layer of the application. In this abstract we refer to the object code layer as the process address space of an application and one of our goals is to make it an easy environment for updating data structures.

The process address space consists of a collection of bytes that represents a running process, with little organization or means to distinguish its different elements. The largely unstructured nature of the process address space frustrates the analysis of its content for frameworks (e.g., debugging, vulnerability analysis, dynamic analysis) aiming to dynamically modify elements of a running process. As illustrated in Figure 1, we can use already existing mechanisms (e.g., pmap(1), proc/map) to view the structure of the process address space, this view is primarily of ABI systems and of limited use for other analysis. Our intent is to introduce a different organization that facilitates the task of hot-patching existing structures in the process address space. We cannot do this, however, without an exhaustive analysis of the statements, patches introduce, that create conflicts between the process address space and the source code layer hot-patching frameworks update. More precisely, the statements that update data structures. We call these statements data operations.

There are two main goals in our investigation, both related to the data operations (or data semantics) of security patches. Our first goal is to create an automated procedure for classifying patches as feasible to hot–patch (i.e., hot–patchable) or not. Our second goal is to develop a system for organizing and hot–patching the process address space of running applications using the patches our procedure classifies as hot–patchable. We present the DPL system, a system that can dynamically update data structures in a running process after organizing its data

003c0000-00519000	r-xp	00000000	08:01	523960	/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libc-2.11.1.so
00519000-0051b000	rp	00159000	08:01	523960	/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libc-2.11.1.so
0051b000-0051c000	rw-p	0015b000	08:01	523960	/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libc-2.11.1.so
0051c000-0051f000	rw-p	00000000	00:00	0	
00d8e000-00d8f000	r-xp	00000000	00:00	0	[vdso]
00f31000-00f4c000	r-xp	00000000	08:01	398463	/lib/ld-2.11.1.so
00f4c000-00f4d000	rp	0001a000	08:01	398463	/lib/ld-2.11.1.so
00f4d000-00f4e000	rw-p	0001b000	08:01	398463	/lib/ld-2.11.1.so
08048000-08049000	r-xp	00000000	08:01	290454	/home/test
08049000-0804a000	rp	00000000	08:01	290454	/home/test
0804a000-0804b000	rw-p	00001000	08:01	290454	/home/test
b78ad000-b78ae000	rw-p	00000000	00:00	0	
b78bb000-b78bf000	rw-p	00000000	00:00	0	
bfb98000-bfbad000	rw-p	00000000	00:00	Θ	[stack]

Fig. 1. One of the current structures of a process address space. As the figure illustrates, this current structure makes recognizing data structures in the PAS harder to achieve.

structures at runtime. The novelty of the DPL system resides in using a database for organizing the data structures inside a process address space and database queries (i.e., a data patch object) for updating them.

Core Utility	Dynamic Tables	Rows	Columns	DB Size	Time
su	3	5	93	14.95	0.04
sleep	2	3	27	12.88	0.03
shuf	2	3	96	13.98	0.05
uniq	2	4	32	13.53	0.04
nl	3	9	103	26.83	0.05
unexpand	1	2	16	11.7	0.02
base64	2	3	27	12.8	0.04
fold	1	2	16	11.7	0.02
md5sum	2	4	52	18.68	0.04
pr	3	4	108	20.41	0.05

TABLE I. RESULTS OF APPLYING DPL TO ORGANIZE THE DATA STRUCTURES IN THE PROCESS ADDRESS SPACE OF 10 CORE UTILITIES OF AN UBUNTU LINUX DISTRIBUTION. THE NUMBER OF DYNAMIC TABLES IS EQUAL TO THE NUMBER OF DATA STRUCTURES RECOVERED AT RUNTIME. THE NUMBER OF COLUMNS IN EACH TABLE IS EQUAL TO THE NUMBER OF MEMBERS OF THE DATA STRUCTURE * 5 (AN ENTRY FOR THE MEMBER'S NAME, SIZE, ADDRESS, TYPE, AND VALUE) AND THE NUMBER OF ROWS IS EQUAL TO THE NUMBER OF INSTANCES OF THE DATA STRUCTURE. WE ALSO PROVIDE THE SIZE (IN KILOBYTES) OF THE DATABASE AND THE TIME (IN SECONDS) IT TAKES TO GENERATE IT.

The DPL system instruments the process address space of an application by following a procedure to recognize, organize, update, and export (ROUE) the application's data structures. The novelty of the DPL system resides in transforming different types of data structures into data that we are able to store inside a database, and thus we are able to update by using common database queries (i.e., a data patch object). In other words, DPL organizes live in-memory data structures in different tables inside a database and uses the metadata (e.g., size, type, name, value, address) of these data structures to populate the tables. We are then able to use data operations in the form of database queries to update the data structures and eventually export them back to the application. The system does all of this dynamically (i.e., at runtime) without stopping or interrupting the running process. Our research consists of a manual process for creating a database query from a security patch and an automated process for applying the database query to the database that represents the running application. We then export all the updated data structures from the database to the running application without interrupting it.

Before developing the DPL system, however, we create an automated procedure using machine learning techniques that analyzes the common elements and implications of our dataset of patches (we explicitly exclude from our study the consideration of "general" patches e.g., feature addition). The main purpose of the modeling and analysis is to help determine whether a patch contains elements that are likely to cause instability or incorrect operation if the patch is applied to the running system. We use this analysis as the ground truth for building the system to organize and update the data structures inside the process address space of running applications.

In order to automate this procedure, we manually study over 140 patches to get a data corpus that works as an input to four machine learning algorithms: neural networks, naive Bayes classifiers, support vector machines, and decision trees. We then use subsets of the data corpus as training and testing datasets and we automate a procedure for classifying patches as hot-patchable or not hot-patchable. If a patch is classified as hot-patchable then it means that we can hot-patch its data structures using the ROUE process of our system. We plan to deploy the system in the future as a test framework where patch-developers can test if their security patches can be hot-patched or not. In Figure 2, we present the a heat map representation for a subset of our dataset that includes a label that tells if the patch (i.e., each row in the matrix) is hotpatchable or not. At the end, we analyze this dataset with our machine learning algorithms and decide the best technique to automate our classification.

We then evaluate the ROUE process by organizing and updating the data structures in the process address spaces of six test cases in a test suite we define. We use a set of common data operation patterns, in their database query form, we gathered from the analysis of our dataset. DPL is capable of updating programs fast with little CPU overhead and it is also capable of updating several types of data structures including primitive and user-defined types (e.g. a linked list).

For future work, we want to dynamically apply (i.e., hotpatch) the security patches we find data-patchable to their counter piece software. As of now, we are able to organize the data structures in the process address space of ten core utilities found in Linux distributions and update them using database queries. We present how the results of the organization of these utilities in a database in Table I. However, we are not yet able to dynamically apply security patches, that our automated procedure classifies as hot-patchable, to more complex applications (e.g., Firefox, Samba, Apache HTTPD).



Fig. 2. A heat map representation of our data corpus. Each cell represents the value of a feature, the darker the cell is the bigger the value of the feature is. The last feature represents our manual classification as a feasible (i.e., data-patchable) or not patch. If the cell for this feature is black then the patch is data-patchable, if not then it is not.