Terry Benzel USC Information Sciences Institute May 18, 2015

The Science of Cyber Security Experimentation

## The DETER Project

- A research program:
  - To advance capabilities for experimental cybersecurity research
- A testbed facility:
  - To serve as a publicly available national resource...
- A community building activity:

To foster and support collaborative science

## **The DETER Facility**

A general purpose, flexible platform for modeling, emulation, and controlled study of large, complex networked systems

- Elements located at USC/ISI (Los Angeles), UC Berkeley, and USC/ISI (Arlington, VA)
- Funded by NSF and DHS, started in 2003
- Based on Emulab software, with focus on security experimentation
- Shared resource multiple simultaneous experiments subject to resource constraints
- Open to academic, industrial, govt researchers essentially worldwide – very lightweight approval process

## **Physical Platform**



~440 PC-based nodes
Berkeley, CA - ~200 Nodes
Los Angeles, CA - 220 Nodes
Arlington, VA - 20 Nodes

Interconnect 1 Gb/s – LA-UCB 1-10 Gb/s LA-Arlington

Local and Remote access

### **Research Goals**

Advance our understanding of of experimental cybersecurity *science and methodologies* 

Enable new levels of rigor and repeatability

Transform low level results to high level understanding

Broaden the domains of applicability

Advance the *technology of experimental infrastructure* Develop technologies with new levels of function, applicability, and scale

Share *knowledge, results, and operational capability* Facility, data and tools

Community and knowledge

# Scalable Modeling and Emulation

The problem:

Traditional testbeds can model and emulate *small* systems at a *fixed* level of fidelity.

The challenge:

Many real problems require modeling of *large, complex* systems at an *appropriate* ("good enough") level of fidelity.

That level may be *different* for different parts of the modeled system.

Think of this as "smearing the computation power around to just where it's needed".

## Containers

DETER **containers** use virtualization to support larger experiments

Containers use several different types of virtualization

Selecting different virtualization types allows a trade-off: One container per physical machine [] high fidelity.

More containers per physical machines I less fidelity.

### **Scalable Control and Instrumentation**

Experiment scenarios require many disparate elements to be combined within a single overall scenario.

These elements must be:

- deployed, initialized, configured,
- monitored and coordinated

instrumented with real-time and post-mortem data collection

...throughout the execution of the experiment.

DETER's MAGI agent infrastructure provides an architecture for scalable control and instrumentation

### Multi-agent system to Model Some Human Behavior

Testbeds must model impact of human activity in repeatable experiments Provide more realistic behavior for testing security tools

But real humans are expensive and non-repeatable

Model goal-directed team activity Measure impact of an attack on team goals

Model impact of organization structure

Model certain human characteristics Propensity to make mistakes

Aspects of physiology, (soon: emotion, bounded rationality)

Flexibility to changing conditions

Configurable tool for experimenters

## **DETER User Institutions**

#### Government

#### Air Force Research Laboratory DARPA

Lawrence Berkeley National Lab Naval Postgraduate School Sandia National Laboratories

#### Industry

Agnik, LLC Aerospace Corporation Backbone Security BAE Systems, Inc. BBN Bell Labs Cs3 Inc. Distributed Infinity Inc. EADS Innovation Works FreeBSD Foundation iCAST Institute for Information Industry

#### Academia **Bar-Ilan University** Carnegie Mellon University Columbia University **Cornell University Dalhousie University** DePaul University George Mason University Georgia State University Hokuriku Research Center ICSI IIT Delhi IRTT ISI Johns Hopkins University Lehigh University MIT New Jersey Institute of Technology Norfolk State University Pennsylvania State University Purdue University **Rutgers University** Sao Paulo State University Southern Illinois University **TU Berlin TU Darmstadt** Texas A&M University

**UC Berkeley** 

UC Davis UC Irvine UC Santa Cruz UCLA UCSD UIUC **UNC Chapel Hill** UNC Charlotte Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas Universita di Pisa University of Advancing Technology University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign University of Maryland University of Massachusetts University of Oregon University of Southern Callfornia University of Washington University of Wisconsin - Madison USC UT Arlington UT Austin UT Dallas Washington State University Washington University in St. Louis Western Michigan University Xiangnan University Youngstown State University

Intel Research Berkeley

### **DETER User Research**



## Education

Hands on exercises Students gain from direct observation of attacks and interaction Pre packaged for both student and teacher Buffer overflows, command-injection, man-in-the-middle, worm modeling, botnets, and DoS

Facility support for class administration

### Next Steps - Ecosystem

SRI and ISI developing strategic plan: Planning grant from NSF

Study current/ future cybersecurity research

Current/expected experimentation infrastructure

Create roadmap for developing:

Accessible, broad, and multi-organizational cybersecurity experimentation capability that meets tomorrow's research needs

### The Road to Tomorrow: Cybersecurity Experimentation of the Future

### Motivation: Why are We Doing This?

Society's cyber dependencies are rapidly evolving

In nearly every aspect of our lives, we are moving toward pervasive embedded computing with a fundamental shift in network properties

These changes bring a very real and wideranging set of challenging cyber threats Addressing these challenges will require cybersecurity research based on sound scientific principles

The scale and complexity of the challenges will require that researchers apply new experimentation methods that enable discovery, validation, and ongoing analysis















### **Research Infrastructure for Cybersecurity Research**

Cybersecurity R&D is still a relatively young field It involves intrinsically hard challenges Inherent focus on worst case behaviors and rare events

In the context of multi-party and adversarial/competitive scenarios

Research infrastructure is crucial Allow new hypotheses to be tested, stressed, observed, reformulated, and ultimately proven before making their way into operational systems

Ever increasing cyber threat landscape demands new forms of R&D and new revolutionary approaches to experimentation and test Clearly a need for future research infrastructure that can play a transformative role for future cybersecurity research



McAfee Labs Threats Report
November 2014



### **The Need for Transformational Progress**

Transformational progress in three distinct, yet synergistic areas is required to achieve the desired objectives:

- Fundamental and broad intellectual advance in the field of <u>experimental</u> <u>methodologies and techniques</u>
  With particular focus on complex systems and human-technical interactions
- 2) New approaches to <u>rapid and effective sharing of data and knowledge and</u> <u>information synthesis</u>

That accelerate multi-discipline and cross-organizational knowledge generation and community building

<sup>3)</sup> Advanced <u>experimental infrastructure capabilities</u> and accessibility

#### **A Science of Cybersecurity Experimentation**

### **Science of Cybersecurity Experimentation**

New direction for the field of experimental cybersecurity R&D R&D must be grounded in scientific methods and tools to fully realize the impact of experimentation Different than and complementary with the science of cybersecurity



Source: https://www.nsa.gov/research/tnw/tnw192/article4.shtml

- New approaches to sharing all aspects of the experimental science – data, designs, experiments, and research infrastructure
- Cultural and social shifts in the way researchers approach experimentation and experimental facilities
- New, advanced experimentation platforms that can evolve and are sustainable as the science and the community mature

### **Roadmap for a New Generation of Experimental Cybersecurity Research**

The roadmap presents requirements, objectives and goals for 30 key capabilities organized into 8 core areas over 3, 5, and 10 year phases Some phases build upon each other and others require new fundamental research over a long time period



- Key capabilities consider:
  - Current experimental cybersecurity research and its supporting infrastructure
  - Other types of research facilities
  - Existing cyber-domain "T&E" capabilities (primarily DoD)
- The roadmap presumes advances in key computer science disciplines
  19

### A Definition of "Cybersecurity Experimentation Infrastructure"

General purpose ranges and testbeds (physical and/or virtual) Specialized ranges and testbeds (physical and/or virtual) Software tools that supports one or more parts of the experiment life cycle, including, but not limited to:

Experiment design

Testbed provisioning software

Experiment control software

Testbed validation

Human and system activity emulators

Instrumentation - systems and humans

Data analysis

Testbed health and situational awareness

Experiment situational awareness

Other similarly relevant tools

Specialized hardware tools - simulators, physical apparatus, etc.



#### **Ecosystem of Different Experimental Capabilities Spanning Multiple Domains**

The goal is not to create a single instance of a cyber experimentation testbed or facility

Over time the roadmap may be realized through an ecosystem of many different instantiations – from small, stand-alone and localized to large distributed experimental capabilities, all spanning multiple domains



### Hybrid Architectures Based on Different Building Blocks

Cloud technology Software defined networking (SDN) Knowledge sharing and community environments Integrated Development Environments E.g., Eclipse

Emulated and simulated environments E.g., RTDS, wireless

Specialized hardware E.g., FPGA, GPU, Intel Xeon Phi

No single hardware/software substrate







### **Research Infrastructure is More than Infrastructure**

Research infrastructure >> infrastructure of machines and tools

Scientific methodologies, experimental processes, and education are critical to effective use of the machines and tools

Research infrastructure requires meta-research into:

Design specification (multi-layered languages and visualization)

Abstraction methodologies and techniques

Semantic analysis and understanding of experimenter intent

Formal methods and a rich approach to modeling to satisfy science objectives





### Where is Experimentation Applicable?

Overarching goal is to increase researcher effectiveness and support the generation and preservation of solid empirical evidence

Infrastructure to enable research, not constrain

New mechanisms to capture and share knowledge (designs, data and results) to enable peer review and allow researchers to build upon each other

#### Experimentation is about learning

To perform an evaluation (not formal T&E)

- To explore a hypothesis
- To characterize complex behavior
- To complement a theory
- To understand a threat
- To probe and understand a technology



#### **Representative Cybersecurity Hard Problems**

#### Systems/software Human interactions

System of system security metrics

Emergent behavior in large scale systems

Supply chain and root of trust

Societal impacts and regulatory policies

#### Networking

Anonymity and privacy of data and communication

Trust infrastructure

Software defined networking (SDN)

Political, social, and economic (balance-of-interest) goals in network design

Pervasive communications, across organizational and political boundaries



#### Cyber-physical systems

- Embedded devices
- Autonomous vehicles, smart transportation
- Electric power, smart grid
- Medical implants, body sensors, etc.

# **Experimentation - It's About the Real World**

Experimentation should start with models of the real world

Modeling and abstraction allow us to capture conceptual models of the real world with varying degrees of fidelity

Key research areas include:

Experiment design specifications

Auto-generated model refinement

Methodologies and tools to assess representation



- Understanding the multiple dimensions of realism
- Taxonomies of realism metrics for realism sufficiency
- Additional methods and tools can help extend these modeling activities to provide increasing forms of real world models

### Leveraging Existing Infrastructure

Leverage current NSF, DHS, and DOD investments as s GENI

Community model

Integration of real infrastructure (overlay network, part of real deployments)

DETER

Research and operational knowledge

Experimental framework

Specialized experimentation and cybersecurity tools

- E.g., MAGI, Containers
- **MIT Lincoln Laboratory**

Standard experiment specification languages

• E.g., CRIS, CCER

Specialized experimentation tools

• E.g., ALIVE, LARIAT, LLAF, KOALA

Other government sponsored infrastructure – DOD, DOE, DOE,







## Join Us - students, post docs, research programmers, computer scientists, faculty

