Second International Workshop on Privacy Engineering IWPE'16

Jose M. del Alamo (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid)

Seda Gurses (Princeton University)

Deirdre K. Mulligan (UC Berkeley)

Frank Dawson (Nokia USA Inc)

Norman Sadeh (Carnegie Mellon University)

Jaap-Henk Hoepman (Radboud University Nijmegen)

MAY 26, 2016



Why are we here today?

EU General Data Protection Regulation

- Data Protection Impact Assessment
- Data Protection by Design and by Default
- Strengthens individual rights, increases responsibility of data controllers
 - Corporate sanctions or fines up to 4% of annual worldwide turnover or 20€M for non-compliance
 - Burden of proof on the data controller, not the user



Why are we here today?





Existing Initiatives



















Privacy Engineering Field

Integrating law and policy compliance into the development process

Privacy impact assessment during software development

Privacy risk management models

Privacy breach recovery methods

Technical standards, heuristics and best practices

for privacy engineering

Privacy engineering in technical standards

Privacy requirements elicitation and analysis

methods

User privacy and data protection requirements

Management of privacy requirements with other

system requirements

Privacy requirements implementation

Privacy engineering strategies and design

patterns

Privacy-preserving architectures

Privacy engineering and databases

Privacy engineering in the context of interaction

design and usability

Privacy testing and evaluation methods Validation and verification of privacy

requirements

Engineering Privacy Enhancing Technologies

(PETs)

Integration of PETs into systems

Models and approaches for the verification of

privacy properties

Tools and formal languages supporting privacy

engineering

Teaching and training privacy engineering

Adaptations of privacy engineering into specific

software development processes

Pilots and real-world applications

Evaluation of privacy engineering methods,

technologies and tools

Privacy engineering and accountability

Privacy engineering and business processes

Privacy engineering and manageability of data

Organizational, legal, political and economic

aspects of privacy engineering



Privacy Engineering @IWPE

Controlled security Generation dataset PILVacy questionnaire Applications TPAs paper critical Things Assessment personal process Provided more differential data access DPD Natural great scientific data Natural great scientific legal address framework Tags widespread order technical Social transparency concepts given events proposed set practical present tools model database
patterns friendly users optimality STPA OSN applied rules
Directive providing practice provide results
strategies way about OSNs risks POlicy systems
oblivious Impact System-Theoretic all questions
between obstacles control conjectures EU European approach protection development ii propose Language harms study automatically need risk existing use design important requirements tion policies particular information engineering handling mechanisms



Workshop Facts

- 37 PC members
- 17 submissions
 - 60% from Europe
 - 40% from USA
- 9 accepted papers
 - 3 short papers
 - 6 regular papers
- 45+ registered

- 48 PC members
- 21 submissions
 - 48% from Europe
 - 39% from USA
 - India, Israel, Turkey
- 9 accepted papers
 - 2 short papers
 - 7 regular papers
- 50+ registered



Agenda

8:45-9:00	Opening remarks
9:00-9:15	Privacy Engineering: Shaping an Emerging Field of Research and
	Practice
9:15-10:15	Privacy and Algorithmic Accountability: Theory and Practice
10:15-10:45	Coffee Break
10:45-12:25	Session 1: Privacy engineering tools
12:25-12:30	Best paper award
12:30-1:30	Lunch
1:30-2:20	Session 2: Privacy engineering techniques
2:20-3:15	Panel: Tools in support of privacy engineering techniques
3:15-3:45	Coffee Break
3:45-4:50	Session 3: Privacy engineering methodologies
4:50-5:45	Panel: Tools in support of privacy engineering methodologies



Acknowledgments

Anupam Datta (Carnegie Mellon University)

Apu Kapadia (Indiana University Bloomington)

Benjamin Fabian (Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin)

Blase Ur (Carnegie Mellon University)

Carmela Troncoso (IMDEA Software)

Claudia Díaz (KU Leuven)

Daniel Kahn Gillmor (American Civil Liberties Union)

Daniel Le Metayer (INRIA)

Daniel Smullen (Carnegie Mellon University)

Ero Balsa (KU Leuven)

Fanny Coudert (KU Leuven)

Fateme Shirazi (KU Leuven)

Frederic Jacobs (EPFL)

Georgios Kellaris (Harvard University)

Gunes Acar (KU Leuven)

Hadi Asghari (TU Delft)

Hanan Hibshi (Carnegie Mellon University)

Helen Nissenbaum (New York University)

Ian Goldberg (University of Waterloo)

Ian Oliver (Nokia)

Jennifer King (UC Berkeley)

Joris Van Hoboken (New York Universiity)

Joseph Lorenzo Hall (CDT)

Juan C. Yelmo (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid)

Kim Wuyts (KU Leuven)

Kristian Beckers (Technische Universität München)

Marit Hansen (ULD)

Maritta Heisel (University of Duisburg-Essen)

Meg Jones (Georgetown University)

Mic Bowman (Intel)

Michael Birnhack (Tel Aviv University)

Michael Tschantz (UC Berkeley)

Mohit Gupta (Clever Inc.)

Neeraj Suri (TU Darmstadt)

Nick Doty (UC Berkeley)

Nicola Zannone (Eindhoven University of Technology)

Richard Chow (Intel)

Rigo Wenning (W₃C)

Rob Cunningham (MIT Lincoln Laboratory)

Rob Jansen (Naval Research Laboratory)

Ruben Trapero (TU Darmstadt)

Ryan Calo (University of Washington)

Stuart Shapiro (MITRE)

Tara Whalen (Google)

Thomas Roessler (Google)

Travis D. Breaux (Carnegie Mellon University)

Yod-Samuel Martín (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid)

Yves-Alexandre De Montjoye (Harvard University)



Why are we here today?





