# On Sustainable Ring-based Anonymous Systems

Sherman S. M. Chow, Christoph Egger, Russell W. F. Lai, Viktoria Ronge, Ivy K. Y. Woo

Aalto University, Finland

13 July 2023 @ CSF, Dubrovnik

#### **Ring-based Anonymous System**

- The Example of Anonymous Cryptocurrencies



Choose decoy accounts 🛱 🛱 🛱 from system.



#### **Ring-based Anonymous System**

- The Example of Anonymous Cryptocurrencies



Choose decoy accounts 🛱 🛱 🏝 from system.



#### **Ring-based Anonymous System**

- The Example of Anonymous Cryptocurrencies



Choose decoy accounts 🛱 🛱 🏝 from system.



- ▶ Actions are anonymous  $\Rightarrow$  Unknown which 🕮 📾 have been used

- ▶ Actions are anonymous  $\Rightarrow$  Unknown which 🕮 📾 have been used
- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{l}{lag}$  lag lag lag lag lag ...  $\Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity ?

- ▶ Actions are anonymous  $\Rightarrow$  Unknown which 🕮 📾 have been used
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity ?
  - Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem

- ▶ Actions are anonymous  $\Rightarrow$  Unknown which 🛱 🏝 have been used
- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \dots \Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity + availability ?
  - Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem X

- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \dots \Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity + availability ?
  - Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem X

#### Our Simple Solution

Partition accounts in system into chunks of equal size k. [REL<sup>+</sup>21]

Each account pick decoys from the same chunk.

k actions from a chunk  $\Rightarrow$  All k accounts in the chunk are used  $\Rightarrow$  Remove

- ► Actions are anonymous ⇒ Unknown which the have been used
- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \dots \Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity + availability ?
  - Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem X

Our Simple Solution Partition accounts in system into chunks of equal size k. [REL<sup>+</sup>21] Each account pick decoys from the same chunk. k actions from a chunk  $\Rightarrow$  All k accounts in the chunk are used  $\Rightarrow$  Remove

#### 

- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \dots \Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity + availability ?
  - Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem X





- ► Actions are anonymous ⇒ Unknown which the have been used
- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \dots \Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity + availability ?
  - Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem X





►

►

# (Un-)Sustainability

- ▶ Need to maintain the growing set of  $\textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \textcircled{a} \dots \Rightarrow$  Unsustainable bookkeeping
- Question: How to achieve sustainable bookkeeping + anonymity + availability ?

Simple counting: N unused accounts  $\Rightarrow$  at most kN accounts in system

Our work: Formalise the above idea

Quisquis [FMMO19]: Sustainable + anonymous, but suffer from availability problem X



<4 actions ⇒ Some not vet used

#### **Our Contributions**

- General model for "Decentralised Anonymous Systems" (DAS)
   Captures e.g. anonymous cryptocurrencies, anonymous credentials
- Formal definition of "Sustainability" + other desirable properties of DAS
- Construction of DAS from cryptographic building blocks: Achieves various desirable properties incl. sustainability

#### **Our Contributions**

- General model for "Decentralised Anonymous Systems" (DAS)
   Captures e.g. anonymous cryptocurrencies, anonymous credentials
- Formal definition of "Sustainability" + other desirable properties of DAS
- Construction of DAS from cryptographic building blocks: Achieves various desirable properties incl. sustainability
- Efficient "garbage collector" algorithm: Detects all surely-used accounts in a ring-based anonymous system (first of its kind)
- Experiment: Mimic Monero's ring-sampling strategy, investigate its (un-)sustainability





- ▶ (pp, state)
- Alice owns  $(mpk_A, msk_A)$  and  $ask_i$  for her  $acc_i$  with attribute  $x_i$
- Action: Alice wants to transfer 1 coin from her source acc<sub>i</sub> to Bob's target acc<sub>j</sub>



 $(acc_i \textcircled{acc}, tk_i, tx) \leftarrow Act$ 



- ▶ (pp, state)
- Alice owns  $(mpk_A, msk_A)$  and  $ask_i$  for her  $acc_i$  with attribute  $x_i$
- Action: Alice wants to transfer 1 coin from her source acc<sub>i</sub> to Bob's target acc<sub>i</sub>
  - Choose a ring R<sub>i</sub> for her acc<sub>i</sub>
  - ▶ Given her (ask<sub>i</sub>,  $x_i = 1$  coin) and Bob's (mpk<sub>B</sub>,  $y_j = 1$  coin), generate (acc<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>, tx)



(pp, state)

- Alice owns  $(mpk_A, msk_A)$  and  $ask_i$  for her  $acc_i$  with attribute  $x_i$
- Action: Alice wants to transfer 1 coin from her source acc<sub>i</sub> to Bob's target acc<sub>j</sub>
  - Choose a ring R<sub>i</sub> for her acc<sub>i</sub>
  - ▶ Given her (ask<sub>i</sub>,  $x_i = 1$  coin) and Bob's (mpk<sub>B</sub>,  $y_j = 1$  coin), generate (acc<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>, tx)
    - For  $acc_j$ , Bob can use  $(msk_B, tk_j)$  to derive  $(ask_j, y_j)$
    - Everyone can verify Alice's action by transcript tx



(pp, state)

- Alice owns  $(mpk_A, msk_A)$  and  $ask_i$  for her  $acc_i$  with attribute  $x_i$
- Action: Alice wants to transfer 1 coin from her source acc<sub>i</sub> to Bob's target acc<sub>j</sub>
  - Choose a ring R<sub>i</sub> for her acc<sub>i</sub>
  - ▶ Given her (ask<sub>i</sub>,  $x_i = 1$  coin) and Bob's (mpk<sub>B</sub>,  $y_j = 1$  coin), generate (acc<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>, tx)
    - For  $acc_j$ , Bob can use  $(msk_B, tk_j)$  to derive  $(ask_j, y_j)$
    - Everyone can verify Alice's action by transcript tx
- What to verify:
  - ▶ Predicates: Admissible actions  $\mathcal{P}$ , e.g.  $x_i = y_i$ ; Admissible rings  $\mathcal{Q}$ , e.g.  $|R_i| \ge 11$



(pp, state)

- Alice owns  $(mpk_A, msk_A)$  and  $ask_i$  for her  $acc_i$  with attribute  $x_i$
- Action: Alice wants to transfer 1 coin from her source acc<sub>i</sub> to Bob's target acc<sub>j</sub>
  - Choose a ring R<sub>i</sub> for her acc<sub>i</sub>
  - Given her (ask<sub>i</sub>,  $x_i = 1$  coin) and Bob's (mpk<sub>B</sub>,  $y_j = 1$  coin), generate (acc<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>, tx)
    - ▶ For acc<sub>j</sub>, Bob can use (msk<sub>B</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>) to derive (ask<sub>j</sub>, y<sub>j</sub>)
    - Everyone can verify Alice's action by transcript tx
- What to verify:
  - ▶ Predicates: Admissible actions  $\mathcal{P}$ , e.g.  $x_i = y_j$ ; Admissible rings  $\mathcal{Q}$ , e.g.  $|R_i| \ge 11$
  - ask<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>i</sub> are valid for source acc<sub>i</sub>
  - Target acc<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub> are created for mpk<sub>j</sub>, y<sub>j</sub>



- ▶ (pp, state) ← Setup
- Alice owns  $(mpk_A, msk_A) \leftarrow MKGen$  and  $ask_i$  for her  $acc_i$  with attribute  $x_i$
- Action: Alice wants to transfer 1 coin from her source acc<sub>i</sub> to Bob's target acc<sub>i</sub>
  - Choose a ring R<sub>i</sub> for her acc<sub>i</sub>
  - ▶ Given her (ask<sub>i</sub>,  $x_i = 1$  coin) and Bob's (mpk<sub>B</sub>,  $y_j = 1$  coin), generate (acc<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>, tx) ← Act
    - For acc<sub>j</sub>, Bob can use  $(msk_B, tk_j)$  to derive  $(ask_j, y_j) \leftarrow AKDer$
    - Everyone can verify Alice's action by transcript tx  $b \leftarrow Vf$
- What to verify:
  - ▶ Predicates: Admissible actions  $\mathcal{P}$ , e.g.  $x_i = y_j$ ; Admissible rings  $\mathcal{Q}$ , e.g.  $|R_i| \ge 11$
  - ▶ ask<sub>i</sub>,  $x_i$  are valid for source acc<sub>i</sub>  $b \leftarrow$  SChk
  - ▶ Target  $acc_j$ ,  $tk_j$  are created for  $mpk_j$ ,  $y_j$   $b \leftarrow TChk$

1. Integrity: "Correctness" of system for honest users (in presence of malicious users)

2. Authenticity: Alice can authenticate that her action is valid

- 3. Privacy
- 4. Availability
- 5. Sustainability

- 1. Integrity: "Correctness" of system for honest users (in presence of malicious users)
  - Action integrity: Alice's action is valid  $\Rightarrow$  Vf = 1
  - ▶ Derivation integrity: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  Bob can recover  $(ask_j, y_j)$  from AKDer
- 2. Authenticity: Alice can authenticate that her action is valid

- 3. Privacy
- 4. Availability
- 5. Sustainability

- 1. Integrity: "Correctness" of system for honest users (in presence of malicious users)
  - ▶ Action integrity: Alice's action is valid  $\Rightarrow$  Vf = 1
  - ▶ Derivation integrity: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  Bob can recover  $(ask_j, y_j)$  from AKDer
- 2. Authenticity: Alice can authenticate that her action is valid
  - Source binding: Passes SChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>i</sub>  $\mathcal{O}(ask_i, x_i)$
  - ► Target binding: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>j</sub>  $\mathbf{G}$ y<sub>j</sub>
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Knowledge sound: Vf = 1  $\Rightarrow$  Alice knows input to Act that gives tx
- 3. Privacy
- 4. Availability

# 5. Sustainability

- 1. Integrity: "Correctness" of system for honest users (in presence of malicious users)
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Action integrity: Alice's action is valid  $\Rightarrow$  Vf = 1
  - ▶ Derivation integrity: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  Bob can recover  $(ask_j, y_j)$  from AKDer
- 2. Authenticity: Alice can authenticate that her action is valid
  - Source binding: Passes SChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>i</sub>  $\mathcal{O}(ask_i, x_i)$
  - ▶ Target binding: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>j</sub>  $\mathbf{G} y_j$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Knowledge sound: Vf = 1  $\Rightarrow$  Alice knows input to Act that gives tx
- 3. Privacy: Alice's acc<sub>i</sub> hidden within ring  $R_i$  given tx (source anonymity) Bob's mpk<sub>B</sub> hidden from acc<sub>i</sub> (target anonymity)
- 4. Availability
- 5. Sustainability

- 1. Integrity: "Correctness" of system for honest users (in presence of malicious users)
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Action integrity: Alice's action is valid  $\Rightarrow$  Vf = 1
  - ▶ Derivation integrity: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  Bob can recover (ask<sub>*i*</sub>, y<sub>*i*</sub>) from AKDer
- 2. Authenticity: Alice can authenticate that her action is valid
  - Source binding: Passes SChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>i</sub>  $\mathcal{O}(ask_i, x_i)$
  - ▶ Target binding: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>j</sub>  $\mathscr{O}$ y<sub>j</sub>
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Knowledge sound: Vf = 1  $\Rightarrow$  Alice knows input to Act that gives tx
- 3. Privacy: Alice's  $acc_i$  hidden within ring  $R_i$  given tx (source anonymity) Bob's mpk<sub>*B*</sub> hidden from  $acc_i$  (target anonymity)
- 4. Availability: Action is valid w.r.t. state at time  $t \Rightarrow$  Valid w.r.t. state at anytime afterwards
  - Captures DOS attacks, e.g. that against Quisquis [FMMO19]
- 5. Sustainability

- 1. Integrity: "Correctness" of system for honest users (in presence of malicious users)
  - Action integrity: Alice's action is valid  $\Rightarrow$  Vf = 1
  - ▶ Derivation integrity: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  Bob can recover  $(ask_j, y_j)$  from AKDer
- 2. Authenticity: Alice can authenticate that her action is valid
  - Source binding: Passes SChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>i</sub>  $\mathcal{O}(ask_i, x_i)$
  - ▶ Target binding: Passes TChk  $\Rightarrow$  acc<sub>j</sub>  $\mathbf{G} y_j$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Knowledge sound: Vf = 1  $\Rightarrow$  Alice knows input to Act that gives tx
- 3. Privacy: Alice's  $acc_i$  hidden within ring  $R_i$  given tx (source anonymity) Bob's mpk<sub>B</sub> hidden from  $acc_i$  (target anonymity)
- 4. Availability: Action is valid w.r.t. state at time  $t \Rightarrow$  Valid w.r.t. state at anytime afterwards
  - Captures DOS attacks, e.g. that against Quisquis [FMMO19]
- 5. Sustainability: # potentially unused account  $\approx$  # truly unused account

## Closer Look at Sustainability

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{Sustainability}_{\Omega,\mathcal{A},k,\beta}(1^{\lambda})}{(\text{pp, state}_{0}) \leftarrow \text{Setup}(1^{\lambda})} \\ & \left(p_{i,m_{i},n_{i}},q_{i,m_{i}},\text{tx}_{i},\langle\overline{\text{acc}}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in[n_{i}]}\right)_{i\in[t]} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(\text{pp, state}_{0}) \\ & \text{for } i\in[t] \text{ do} \\ & \left(b_{i},\text{state}_{i+1},\langle\text{acc}_{i+1,j}\rangle_{j\in U_{i+1}}\right) \leftarrow \text{Vf} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{state}_{i},\langle\text{acc}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in U_{i}},p_{i,m_{i},n_{i}},q_{i,m_{i}},\\ & \text{tx}_{i},\langle\overline{\text{acc}}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in[n_{i}]} \end{array}\right) \\ & \text{unused} := \sum_{i\in[t]} n_{i} - \sum_{i\in[t]} m_{i}; \text{ valid_txs} := (\forall i\in[t], b_{i}=1) \\ & \text{sustainable_state} := (|\text{state}_{t}| \leq k \cdot \beta(\lambda) \cdot \text{unused} \land |U_{t}| \leq k \cdot \text{unused}) \\ & \text{return valid_txs} \land \neg \text{sustainable_state} \end{split}$$

► A DAS is *k*-sustainable:

 $\exists \beta(\lambda) \in \mathsf{poly}(\lambda) \text{ s.t. for any PPT } \mathcal{A}, \mathsf{Pr}\big[\mathsf{Sustainability}_{\Omega,\mathcal{A},k,\beta}(1^{\lambda}) = 1\big] \leq \mathsf{negl}(\lambda)$ 

## Closer Look at Sustainability

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{Sustainability}_{\Omega,\mathcal{A},K,\beta}(1^{\lambda})}{(\text{pp, state}_{0}) \leftarrow \text{Setup}(1^{\lambda})} \\ & \left(p_{i,m_{i},n_{i}},q_{i,m_{i}},\text{tx}_{i},\langle \overline{\text{acc}}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in[n_{i}]}\right)_{i\in[t]} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(\text{pp, state}_{0}) \\ & \text{for } i\in[t] \text{ do} \\ & \left(b_{i},\text{state}_{i+1},\langle \text{acc}_{i+1,j}\rangle_{j\in\mathcal{U}_{i+1}}\right) \leftarrow \text{Vf} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{state}_{i},\langle \text{acc}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in\mathcal{U}_{i}},p_{i,m_{i},n_{i}},q_{i,m_{i}},\\ \text{tx}_{i},\langle \overline{\text{acc}}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in[n_{i}]} \end{array}\right) \\ & \text{unused} := \sum_{i\in[t]} n_{i} - \sum_{i\in[t]} m_{i}; \text{ valid_txs} := (\forall i\in[t], b_{i}=1) \\ & \text{sustainable_state} := (|\text{state}_{t}| \leq k \cdot \beta(\lambda) \cdot \text{unused} \land |\mathcal{U}_{t}| \leq k \cdot \text{unused}) \\ & \text{return valid_txs} \land \neg \text{sustainable_state} \end{split}$$

▶ A DAS is *k*-sustainable:

 $\exists \beta(\lambda) \in \mathsf{poly}(\lambda) \text{ s.t. for any PPT } \mathcal{A}, \mathsf{Pr}\big[\mathsf{Sustainability}_{\Omega,\mathcal{A},k,\beta}(1^{\lambda}) = 1\big] \leq \mathsf{negl}(\lambda)$ 

Sustainable state:

 $|\text{state}_t| \le k \cdot \beta(\lambda) \times \#$  truly unused accounts, # potentially unused accounts =  $|U_t| \le k \times \#$  truly unused accounts

## Closer Look at Sustainability

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{Sustainability}_{\Omega,\mathcal{A},K,\beta}(1^{\lambda})}{(\text{pp, state}_{0}) \leftarrow \text{Setup}(1^{\lambda})} \\ & \left(p_{i,m_{i},n_{i}},q_{i,m_{i}},\text{tx}_{i},\langle\overline{\text{acc}}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in[n_{i}]}\right)_{i\in[t]} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(\text{pp, state}_{0}) \\ & \text{for } i\in[t] \text{ do} \\ & \left(b_{i},\text{state}_{i+1},\langle\text{acc}_{i+1,j}\rangle_{j\in\mathcal{U}_{i+1}}\right) \leftarrow \text{Vf} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{state}_{i},\langle\text{acc}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in\mathcal{U}_{i}},p_{i,m_{i},n_{i}},q_{i,m_{i}},\\ \text{tx}_{i},\langle\overline{\text{acc}}_{i,j}\rangle_{j\in[n_{i}]}\end{array}\right) \\ & \text{unused} := \sum_{i\in[t]} n_{i} - \sum_{i\in[t]} m_{i}; \text{ valid_txs} := (\forall i\in[t], b_{i}=1) \\ & \text{sustainable_state} := (|\text{state}_{t}| \leq k \cdot \beta(\lambda) \cdot \text{unused} \land |U_{t}| \leq k \cdot \text{unused}) \\ & \text{return valid_txs} \land \neg \text{sustainable_state} \end{split}$$

▶ A DAS is *k*-sustainable:

 $\exists \beta(\lambda) \in \mathsf{poly}(\lambda) \text{ s.t. for any PPT } \mathcal{A}, \mathsf{Pr}\big[\mathsf{Sustainability}_{\Omega,\mathcal{A},k,\beta}(1^{\lambda}) = 1\big] \leq \mathsf{negl}(\lambda)$ 

Sustainable state:

 $|\text{state}_t| \le k \cdot \beta(\lambda) \times \#$  truly unused accounts, # potentially unused accounts =  $|U_t| \le k \times \#$  truly unused accounts

Next: Construct k-sustainable DAS for constant k

Cryptographic building blocks:

Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]

- Cryptographic building blocks: Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]
- ▶ TAG: Generate (mpk, msk) and tk<sub>j</sub>, Derive ask<sub>j</sub> from (msk<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>)

MKGen, AKDer

- Cryptographic building blocks: Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]
- ▶ TAG: Generate (mpk, msk) and  $tk_j$ , Derive ask<sub>j</sub> from (msk<sub>j</sub>,  $tk_j$ )

MKGen, AKDer

COM: Commit  $x_i$  for  $acc_i$ ,  $y_j$  for  $acc_j$  SChk, TChk

ARG: Generate and verify transcript tx:

#### $\mathbf{Act}, \mathbf{Vf}$

- COM and TAG are correctly evaluated
- $\blacktriangleright$  Alice's action satisfies  $\mathcal P$  and passes SChk, TChk

- Cryptographic building blocks: Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]
- ▶ TAG: Generate (mpk, msk) and  $tk_j$ , Derive ask<sub>j</sub> from (msk<sub>j</sub>,  $tk_j$ )

MKGen, AKDer Derivation Integrity

COM: Commit x<sub>i</sub> for acc<sub>i</sub>, y<sub>j</sub> for acc<sub>j</sub> SChk, TChk Source/Target binding

ARG: Generate and verify transcript tx:

Act, Vf Action integrity, Knowledge sound, Privacy

- COM and TAG are correctly evaluated
- ► Alice's action satisfies *P* and passes SChk, TChk

- Cryptographic building blocks: Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]
- TAG: Generate (mpk, msk) and tk<sub>j</sub>, Derive ask<sub>j</sub> from (msk<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>), Generate tag<sub>j</sub> ask<sub>j</sub> MKGen, AKDer Derivation Integrity, Availability
  - COM: Commit  $x_i$  for acc<sub>i</sub>,  $y_j$  for acc<sub>j</sub> SChk, TChk Source/Target binding
  - ARG: Generate and verify transcript tx:
    - Act, Vf Action integrity, Knowledge sound, Privacy
  - COM and TAG are correctly evaluated
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Alice's action satisfies  $\mathcal P$  and passes SChk, TChk
  - \*Vf also checks tag, is used only once

- Cryptographic building blocks: Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]
- TAG: Generate (mpk, msk) and tk<sub>j</sub>, Derive ask<sub>j</sub> from (msk<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>), Generate tag<sub>j</sub> s ask<sub>j</sub> MKGen, AKDer Derivation Integrity, Availability

COM: Commit  $x_i$  for  $acc_i$ ,  $y_j$  for  $acc_j$  SChk, TChk Source/Target binding

ARG: Generate and verify transcript tx:

Act, Vf Action integrity, Knowledge sound, Privacy

- COM and TAG are correctly evaluated
- $\blacktriangleright$  Alice's action satisfies  $\mathcal P$  and passes SChk, TChk

\*Vf also checks tag<sub>i</sub> is used only once and  $R_i$  satisfies Q

▶ Sustainability: Predicate *Q* = partitioning sampler [REL<sup>+</sup>21]

- Cryptographic building blocks: Commitment COM, NIZK argument system ARG, Tagging scheme TAG [LRR<sup>+</sup>19]
- ► TAG: Generate (mpk, msk) and tk<sub>j</sub>, Derive ask<sub>j</sub> from (msk<sub>j</sub>, tk<sub>j</sub>), Generate tag<sub>j</sub> 𝔅 ask<sub>j</sub>

MKGen, AKDer Derivation Integrity, Availability

COM: Commit  $x_i$  for  $acc_i$ ,  $y_j$  for  $acc_j$  SChk, TChk Source/Target binding

ARG: Generate and verify transcript tx:

Act, Vf Action integrity, Knowledge sound, Privacy

- COM and TAG are correctly evaluated
- $\blacktriangleright$  Alice's action satisfies  $\mathcal P$  and passes SChk, TChk

\*Vf also checks tag<sub>i</sub> is used only once and  $R_i$  satisfies Q

► Sustainability: Predicate Q = partitioning sampler [REL<sup>+</sup>21]

#### k-Partitioning Sampler

k accounts spawned at adjacent time forms a "chunk"

To use an account: Choose ring members from the same chunk

#### k-Partitioning Sampler

k accounts spawned at adjacent time forms a "chunk"

To use an account: Choose ring members from the same chunk

#### k-Partitioning Sampler

*k* accounts spawned at adjacent time forms a "chunk" To use an account: Choose ring members from the same chunk

- ▶ k accounts in a chunk are used ⇔ All accounts in the chunk are used ⇒ Remove whole chunk
- ▶ Simple "Garbage Collector": Check if there are *k* valid actions in a chunk
- ▶ *N* truly unused accounts  $\Rightarrow$  at most *N* chunks = *kN* accounts stored in system  $\Rightarrow$  *k*-sustainable

#### k-Partitioning Sampler

*k* accounts spawned at adjacent time forms a "chunk" To use an account: Choose ring members from the same chunk

- ▶ k accounts in a chunk are used ⇔ All accounts in the chunk are used ⇒ Remove whole chunk
- ▶ Simple "Garbage Collector": Check if there are *k* valid actions in a chunk
- ► *N* truly unused accounts  $\Rightarrow$  at most *N* chunks = *kN* accounts stored in system  $\Rightarrow$  *k*-sustainable
- Not need to know which of the k accounts an action is made from

#### k-Partitioning Sampler

*k* accounts spawned at adjacent time forms a "chunk" To use an account: Choose ring members from the same chunk

- ▶ k accounts in a chunk are used ⇔ All accounts in the chunk are used ⇒ Remove whole chunk
- ▶ Simple "Garbage Collector": Check if there are *k* valid actions in a chunk
- ▶ *N* truly unused accounts  $\Rightarrow$  at most *N* chunks = *kN* accounts stored in system  $\Rightarrow$  *k*-sustainable
- ▶ Not need to know which of the *k* accounts an action is made from
- Sustainability vs. Privacy:
   s-sustainable ⇒ s ≥ minimum ring size ever used (counting argument)
   Partitioning: Fix ring size = chunk size k ⇒ s ≥ k; We achieve s = k

# Construction

$Setup(1^\lambda)$	$Act\left(\left.\left< R_i, aid_i \right. \right. \right.$	$\begin{array}{c} st, \langle acc_{aid} \rangle_{aid \in U}, p_{m,n}, \\ , ask_i, x_i \rangle_{i \in [m]}, \langle mpk_i, y_i \rangle_{i \in [n]}, aux \end{array} \right)$		$Vf(st, \langle acc_{aid} \rangle_{aid \in U}, p_{m,n}, q_m, tx, \langle \overline{acc}_i \rangle_{i \in [n]})$
$pp_{TAG} \leftarrow TAG.Setup(1^{\lambda})$	parse st as $\langle Tag_C \rangle_{C \in Partition(U)}$			<b>parse st as</b> $\langle Tag_C \rangle_{C \in Partition(U)}$
$pp_{COM} \gets COM.Setup(1^{\lambda})$	$\mathbf{for}i\in[m]\mathbf{do}$			<b>parse tx as</b> $\left(\{(R_i, tag_i)\}_{i \in [m]}, \pi\right)$
$pp_{ARG} \leftarrow ARG.Setup(1^{\lambda})$	parse ask <sub>i</sub> a	$s(sk_i, r_i)$ TagEval(sk)		$R := \bigcup R_i$
$\mathbf{return} \ (pp, st := \emptyset)$	for $i \in [n]$ do			$i \in [m]$
	$(\overline{pk}_i, \delta_i) \leftarrow T$	$AG.PKDer(mpk_i)$		$stmt := \left(\begin{array}{c} P^{m,n}, \langle acc_{a} \rangle_{aid \in R}, \\ \{(R_i, tag_i)\}_{i \in [m]}, \langle \overline{acc}_i \rangle_{i \in [n]} \end{array}\right)$
$\frac{MKGen(pp)}{msk \leftarrow TAG.SKGen(pp_{TAG})}$ $mpk \leftarrow TAG.PKGen(msk)$ $\mathbf{return} \ (mpk,msk)$	$\frac{\overline{com}_i \leftarrow CON}{\overline{acc}_i := (\overline{pk}_i,}$ $R := \bigcup_{i \in [m]} R_i$	$\begin{split} & \textbf{A.Com}(y_i;s_i)  \textbf{I} \text{ with uniform randomness } s_i \\ & \overline{\texttt{com}}_i);  \texttt{tk}_i := (\delta_i, y_i, s_i) \end{split}$		$ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{if} \\ g_m \in \mathcal{Q} \wedge ARG. \forall (u, (R_i)_{i \in [m]}) = 1 \\ q_m \in \mathcal{Q} \wedge ARG. \forall (stmt, \pi) = 1 \\ \{ tag_i \}_{i \in [m]} \cap \underbrace{Tag_C}_{C \in Partition(U)} \mathbf{Tag}_C = \emptyset \end{array} \mathbf{then} $
$\begin{array}{l} AKDer(msk,tk) \\ \hline \mathbf{parse tk as } (\delta, x, r) \\ sk \leftarrow TAG.SKDer(msk, \delta) \\ ask := (sk, r) \\ \mathbf{return} \ (ask, x) \end{array}$	stmt := $(p_{m,n},$ wit := $((aid_i, sk_{\pi} \leftarrow ARG.Proveton, tx) = (\{(R_i, tageton, tx), (\overline{a}, tageton, tx)\}$	$ \begin{aligned} & \langle \operatorname{acc}_{\operatorname{aid}} \rangle_{\operatorname{aid} \in \mathbb{R}} : \{ (R_i, \operatorname{tag}_i) \}_{i \in [m]}, \langle \operatorname{acc}_i \rangle_{i \in \{m\}}, \langle \operatorname{acc}_i \rangle_{i \in \{m\}}, \langle \operatorname{and}_i \rangle_{i \in [m]}, \langle \operatorname{acc}_i \rangle_{i \in \{m\}}, \pi \\ & cc_i, tk_i \rangle_{i \in [n]} \end{pmatrix} $	[n])	$\begin{array}{l} U^{'}:=U\cup([n]+\max(U)+1); U'':=Partition(U')\\ acc_{i+\max(U)+1}:=\overline{acc}_i, \ \forall i\in[n]\\ Tag_{\mathcal{C}}:=Tag_{\mathcal{C}}\cup\{tag_i\}_{i\in[m]:R_i\subseteq \mathcal{C}}, \ \forall \mathcal{C}\in U''\\ st''\leftarrowGC(\langleTag_{\mathcal{C}}\rangle_{\mathcal{C}\inPartition(U')}, \langleacc_{aid}\rangle_{aid\in U'})\\ return\ (1,st')\\ else\ return\ (0,st) \end{array}$
$GC(st, \langle acc_{aid} \rangle_{aid \in U})$ / subroutine of Vf		SChk(acc,ask,x)	тс	hk(acc,mpk,tk,y)
<b>parse st as</b> $\langle Tag_C \rangle_{C \in Partition(U)}$ ; $U' := U$		parse acc as (pk, com)	pa	rse acc as (pk, com)
for $C \in Partition(U)$ do		parse ask as $(sk, r)$	pa	rse tk as $(\delta, x, r)$
$\begin{split} \mathbf{if} \;  Tag[C]  &=  C  \; \mathbf{then} \; U' := U' \setminus C \\ \mathbf{return} \; (\langle Tag_C \rangle_{C \in Partition(U')}, \langle acc_{aid} \rangle_{aid \in U'}) \end{split}$		$\mathbf{return} \begin{cases} pk = TAG.PKGen(sk) \\ com = COM.Com(x;r) \end{cases}$	$\mathbf{ret}$	$\mathbf{urn} \begin{cases} x = y \\ com = COM.Com(x; r) \end{cases}$

Fig. 7. Generic construction of a Decentralised Anonymous System

Just now: GC that detects surely-used accounts if use partitioning sampler Below: Another GC for general ring-sampling mechanisms

▶ Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph *G* [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]





- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment
- Core(G) [DM58]: Subgraph of G s.t. edges in Core(G) = Union of all maximum matchings



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment
- Core(G) [DM58]: Subgraph of G s.t. edges in Core(G) = Union of all maximum matchings



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment
- Core(G) [DM58]: Subgraph of G s.t. edges in Core(G) = Union of all maximum matchings
- Our general GC:
  - ▶ Given ring-memberships, compute G and Core(G) [Tas12]
  - For each component in Core(G), check if num. account nodes = num. ring nodes
  - $\blacktriangleright\,$  If yes, the accounts are used  $\Rightarrow\,$  Can remove



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment
- Core(G) [DM58]: Subgraph of G s.t. edges in Core(G) = Union of all maximum matchings
- Our general GC:
  - ▶ Given ring-memberships, compute G and Core(G) [Tas12]
  - For each component in Core(G), check if num. account nodes = num. ring nodes
  - $\blacktriangleright\,$  If yes, the accounts are used  $\Rightarrow\,$  Can remove



- Model ring-memberships as bipartite graph G [ELR<sup>+</sup>22]
- A maximum matching = Matching covering all ring nodes
   = A possible accounts-rings assignment
- Core(G) [DM58]: Subgraph of G s.t. edges in Core(G) = Union of all maximum matchings
- Our general GC:
  - ▶ Given ring-memberships, compute G and Core(G) [Tas12]
  - For each component in Core(G), check if num. account nodes = num. ring nodes
  - ▶ If yes, the accounts are used  $\Rightarrow$  Can remove
- We prove correctness and optimality of our GC,
   i.e. it returns all surely-used accounts (inferable from ring-memberships) and only them



## Experiment on Ring-Sampling Method of Monero

- Simulate ring-sampling mechanism used by Monero [REL<sup>+</sup>21]
- Given the simulated ring memberships, run our general GC, observe how many used accounts are detected

# Experiment on Ring-Sampling Method of Monero

- ▶ Simulate ring-sampling mechanism used by Monero [REL<sup>+</sup>21]
- Given the simulated ring memberships, run our general GC, observe how many used accounts are detected



#### Summary

- Model for Decentralised Anonymous Systems (DAS)
- Formal definition of "Sustainability" and other desirable properties of DAS
- First construction of DAS achieving sustainability, privacy and availability (and more)
  - Simple solution to sustainability: Partitioning sampler
- Efficient "garbage collector" for general ring-sampling mechanisms
- Experiment on Monero's (un-)sustainability

Ivy K. Y. Woo
Aalto University, Finland
■ ivy.woo@aalto.fi

 ivyw.ooo

Thank You!



ia.cr/2023/743

#### References

- A. L. Dulmage and N. S. Mendelsohn. Coverings of bipartite graphs. Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 10:517–534, 1958
- Christoph Egger, Russell W. F. Lai, Viktoria Ronge, Ivy K. Y. Woo, and Hoover H. F. Yin. On defeating graph analysis of anonymous transactions. Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies, 3:538–557, 2022
- Prastudy Fauzi, Sarah Meiklejohn, Rebekah Mercer, and Claudio Orlandi. Quisquis: A new design for anonymous cryptocurrencies. Advances in Cryptology–ASIACRYPT 2019: 25th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Kobe, Japan, December 8–12, 2019, Proceedings, Part I 25, pages 649–678, 2019
- Russell W. F. Lai, Viktoria Ronge, Tim Ruffing, Dominique Schröder, Sri Aravinda Krishnan Thyagarajan, and Jiafan Wang. Omniring: Scaling private payments without trusted setup. Proceedings of the 2019 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pages 31–48, 2019
- Viktoria Ronge, Christoph Egger, Russell W. F. Lai, Dominique Schröder, and Hoover H. F. Yin. Foundations of ring sampling. Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies, 3:265–288, 2021
- Tamir Tassa. Finding all maximally-matchable edges in a bipartite graph. Theoretical Computer Science, 423:50–58, 2012